

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,086	09/12/2003	Jorg Thommes	037003-0305940	6600
7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER		
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP			THERKORN, ERNEST G	
Intellectual Property Group Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11682 El Camino Real.			1723	
San Diego, CA 92130-2092  DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005				5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	[ A 10 22 5	-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/661,086	THOMMES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN NO DATE CHI	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, r n. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6 tatute, cause the application to beco	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely NoNTHS from the mailing date of this co-	mmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (	<u> 14 October 2004</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	·					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a	nst of the certified copies	s not received.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	) Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date ee of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	.152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Othe	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	132)			
LUS. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	o Action Summan	Det - ED N. M. C.				
UIII	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Dat	te 05012005 t			

Art Unit: 1723

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-8, 19, 22, 24-28, and 30, drawn to a method of separating, classified in class 210, subclass 656.

- II. Claims 9, 20, and 29, drawn to a compound, classified in class 210, subclass 500.1.
- III. Claims 10-18 and 23, drawn to a simulated moving bed system, classified in class 210, subclass 198.2.
- IV. Claim 21, drawn to a method of treating a patient, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed could be made by another and materially different process. For example, the product could be made by a stationary chromatography bed.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed could be used to practice another

Art Unit: 1723

and materially different process. For example, the apparatus as claimed could be used as a chemical or biochemical reactor in a chemical or biochemical reaction process.

Inventions I and IV are not considered to be related because they are different processes with different steps and different purposes.

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product as claimed could be made by another and materially different apparatus. For example, the product could be made by a stationary chromatography bed.

Inventions II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed could be used in a materially different process of using that product. For example, the product could be used an affinity ligand on a support.

Inventions III and IV are not related because the method of treating a patient does not use the apparatus of invention III.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

In addition to the restriction requirement, the following two elections of species are required:

## **ELECTION I**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Each ligand associated with a support material, such as Protein A or Protein G, is considered to be a distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 9, 10, and 21 are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## **ELECTION II**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Each immunoreactive compound, such as antibodies, is considered to be a distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 9, 10, and 21 are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 1723

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Charles C. P. Rories on June 1, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1723

Page 7

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT June 1, 2005